

# Doing Business 2014

# **Regional Profile:** Middle East and North Africa (MENA)



Comparing Business Regulations for Domestic Firms in 189 Economies

11TH EDITION

A World Bank Group Corporate Flagship

© 2013 The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433 Telephone: 202-473-1000; Internet: www.worldbank.org

All rights reserved. 1 2 3 4 15 14 13 12

A copublication of The World Bank and the International Finance Corporation.

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. Note that The World Bank does not necessarily own each component of the content included in the work. The World Bank therefore does not warrant that the use of the content contained in the work will not infringe on the rights of third parties. The risk of claims resulting from such infringement rests solely with you.

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Nothing herein shall constitute or be considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

#### **Rights and Permissions**



This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license (CC BY 3.0) <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</u>. Under the Creative Commons Attribution license, you are free to copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt this work, including for commercial purposes, under the following conditions:

**Attribution**—Please cite the work as follows: World Bank. 2013. *Doing Business 2014: Understanding Smarter Regulations for Small and Medium-Size Enterprises.* Washington, DC: World Bank Group. DOI: 10.1596/978-0-8213-9615-5. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0

**Translations**—If you create a translation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: *This translation was not created by The World Bank and should not be considered an official World Bank translation. The World Bank shall not be liable for any content or error in this translation.* 

All queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to the Office of the Publisher, The World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2625; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

Additional copies of all 11 editions of *Doing Business* may be purchased at www.doingbusiness.org.

Cover design: The Word Express

# CONTENTS

Introduction	
The business environment	5
Starting a business	
Dealing with construction permits	
Getting electricity	
Registering property	
Getting credit	
Protecting investors	
Paying taxes	
Trading across borders	
Enforcing contracts	77
Resolving insolvency	
Data notes	
Resources on the Doing Business website	

# INTRODUCTION

Doing Business sheds light on how easy or difficult it is for a local entrepreneur to open and run a small to medium-size business when complying with relevant regulations. It measures and tracks changes in regulations affecting 11 areas in the life cycle of a business: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts, resolving insolvency and employing workers.

In a series of annual reports *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on business regulations and the protection of property rights that can be compared across 189 economies, from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe, over time. The data set covers 47 economies in Sub-Saharan Africa, 33 in Latin America and the Caribbean, 25 in East Asia and the Pacific, 25 in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, 20 in the Middle East and North Africa and 8 in South Asia, as well as 31 OECD highincome economies. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms have worked, where and why.

This regional profile presents the *Doing Business* indicators for economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA). It also shows the regional average, the best performance globally for each indicator and data for the following comparator regions: Latin America, East Asia and the Pacific (EAP), Europe and Central Asia (ECA), South Asia (SA) and OECD High Income. The

data in this report are current as of June 1, 2013 (except for the paying taxes indicators, which cover the period January–December 2012).

The Doing Business methodology has limitations. Other areas important to business-such as an economy's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than those related to trading across borders and getting electricity), the security of property from theft and looting, the transparency government procurement, of macroeconomic conditions or the underlying strength of institutions-are not directly studied by Doing Business. The indicators refer to a specific type of business, generally a local limited liability company operating in the largest business city. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. The data not only highlight the extent of obstacles to doing business; they also help identify the source of those obstacles, supporting policy makers in designing regulatory reform.

More information is available in the full report. *Doing Business 2014* presents the indicators, analyzes their relationship with economic outcomes and recommends regulatory reforms. The data, along with information on ordering the *Doing Business 2014* report, are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

For policy makers trying to improve their economy's regulatory environment for business, a good place to start is to find out how it compares with the regulatory environment in other economies. Doing Business provides an aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business based on indicator sets that measure and benchmark regulations applying to domestic small to medium-size businesses through their life cycle. Economies are ranked from 1 to 189 by the ease of doing business index. For each economy the index is calculated as the ranking on the simple average of its percentile rankings on each of the 10 topics included in the index in Doing Business 2014: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency.

The ranking on each topic is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (see the data notes for more details).

The aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business benchmarks each economy's performance on the indicators against that of all other economies in the Doing Business sample (figure 1.1). While this ranking tells much about the business environment in an economy, it does not tell the whole story. The ranking on the ease of doing business, and the underlying indicators, do not measure all aspects of the business environment that matter to firms and investors or that affect the competitiveness of the economy. Still, a high ranking does mean that the government has created a regulatory environment conducive to operating a business.





Source: Doing Business database.

in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business is provided by the regional average rankings on the topics useful. Also useful is to know how it ranks compared with included in the ease of doing business index (figure 1.3). other economies in the region and compared with the

For policy makers, knowing where their economy stands regional average (figure 1.2). Another perspective is

#### Figure 1.2 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of doing business



\*The economy with the best performance globally is included as a benchmark. Source: Doing Business database.

Figure 1.3 How Middle East and North Africa (MENA) ranks on Doing Business topics

Regional average ranking



Source: Doing Business database.



Figure 1.4 How far has Middle East and North Africa (MENA) come in the areas measured by Doing Business?

*Note:* The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average a region is from the best performance achieved by any region on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005, except for the getting electricity indicators, which were introduced in 2009. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The overall distance to frontier is the average of the distance to frontier in the first 9 indicator sets shown in the figure and does not include getting electricity. Data on the overall distance to frontier including getting electricity is available at http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/distance-to-frontier. See the data notes for more details on the distance to frontier measure.

Just as the overall ranking on the ease of doing business tells only part of the story, so do changes in that ranking. Yearly movements in rankings can provide some indication of changes in an economy's regulatory environment for firms, but they are always relative. An economy's ranking might change because of developments in other economies. An economy that implemented business regulation reforms may fail to rise in the rankings (or may even drop) if it is passed by others whose business regulation reforms had a more significant impact as measured by *Doing Business*.

The absolute values of the indicators tell another part of the story (table 1.1). Policy makers can learn much by comparing the indicators for their economy with those for the lowest- and highest-scoring economies in the region as well as those for the best performers globally. These comparisons may reveal unexpected strengths in an area of business regulation—such as a regulatory process that can be completed with a small number of procedures in a few days and at a low cost.

Indicator	Lowest regional	Best regional	Regional average	Best global
	performance	performance	<u> </u>	performance
Starting a Business (rank)	171 (Libya)	37 (United Arab Emirates)	112	1 (New Zealand)
Procedures (number)	14 (Algeria)	5 (3 Economies*)	8	1 (New Zealand)*
Time (days)	45.0 (West Bank and Gaza)	8.0 (3 Economies*)	19.9	1.0 (New Zealand)
Cost (% of income per capita)	184.7 (Djibouti)	0.9 (Bahrain)	28.9	0.0 (Slovenia)
Paid-in Min. Capital (% of income per capita)	226.6 (Bahrain)	0.0 (9 Economies*)	45.4	0.0 (112 Economies*)
Dealing with Construction Permits (rank)	189 (Libya)	4 (Bahrain)	108	1 (Hong Kong SAR, China)
Procedures (number)	24 (Kuwait)	10 (Iraq)	16	6 (Hong Kong SAR, China)
Time (days)	320.0 (Iran, Islamic Rep.)	44.0 (United Arab Emirates)	145.7	26.0 (Singapore)
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,949.2 (Djibouti)	1.1 (Qatar)	283.3	1.1 (Qatar)
Getting Electricity (rank)	169 (Iran, Islamic Rep.)	4 (United Arab Emirates)	77	1 (Iceland)
Procedures (number)	7 (3 Economies*)	3 (United Arab Emirates)	5	3 (10 Economies*)
Time (days)	180 (Djibouti)*	35 (United Arab Emirates)	86	17 (Germany)

#### Table 1.1 Summary of Doing Business indicators for Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

Indicator	Lowest regional performance	Best regional performance	Regional average	Best global performance
Cost (% of income per capita)	7,487.0 (Djibouti)	4.0 (Qatar)	1,038.0	0.0 (Japan)
Registering Property (rank)	189 (Libya)	4 (United Arab Emirates)*	93	1 (Georgia)
Procedures (number)	10 (Algeria)	2 (United Arab Emirates)*	6	1 (4 Economies*)
Time (days)	60.0 (Morocco)	8.0 (Saudi Arabia)*	33.0	1.0 (New Zealand)*
Cost (% of property value)	27.8 (Syrian Arab Republic)	0.0 (Saudi Arabia)	5.9	0.0 (5 Economies*)
Getting Credit (rank)	186 (Libya)	55 (Saudi Arabia)	133	1 (Malaysia)*
Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2 (Djibouti)*	5 (Saudi Arabia)	3	10 (10 Economies*)
Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1 (Djibouti)	6 (Saudi Arabia)*	4	6 (31 Economies*)
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3 (Djibouti)	21.0 (Oman)	11.9	100.0 (Portugal)*
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	19.6 (Egypt, Arab Rep.)	44.3 (Saudi Arabia)	28.4	100.0 (22 Economies*)
Protecting Investors (rank)	187 (Libya)	22 (Saudi Arabia)	113	1 (New Zealand)
Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3 (Malta)	8 (Saudi Arabia)*	6	10 (10 Economies*)
Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1 (Lebanon)	8 (Saudi Arabia)	5	10 (Cambodia)
Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0 (Djibouti)*	8 (Malta)	3	10 (3 Economies*)
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.3 (Djibouti)	6.7 (Saudi Arabia)	4.5	9.7 (New Zealand)
Paying Taxes (rank)	174 (Algeria)	1 (United Arab Emirates)	64	1 (United Arab Emirates)
Payments (number per year)	44 (Yemen, Rep.)	3 (Saudi Arabia)	18	3 (Hong Kong SAR, China)*
Time (hours per year)	451 (Algeria)	12 (United Arab Emirates)	220	12 (United Arab Emirates)
Trading Across Borders (rank)	179 (Iraq)	4 (United Arab Emirates)	89	1 (Singapore)
Documents to export	10 (Iraq)	3 (United Arab	6	2 (Ireland)*

Indicator	Lowest regional performance	Best regional performance	Regional average	Best global performance
(number)		Emirates)*		
Time to export (days)	80 (Iraq)	7 (United Arab Emirates)	20	6 (5 Economies*)
Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,550 (Iraq)	595 (Morocco)	1,127	450 (Malaysia)
Documents to import (number)	10 (Iraq)*	5 (United Arab Emirates)*	8	2 (Ireland)*
Time to import (days)	82 (Iraq)	7 (United Arab Emirates)	24	4 (Singapore)
Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,650 (Iraq)	615 (United Arab Emirates)	1,360	440 (Singapore)
Enforcing Contracts (rank)	179 (Syrian Arab Republic)	51 (Iran, Islamic Rep.)	118	1 (Luxembourg)
Time (days)	1,225 (Djibouti)	505 (Iran, Islamic Rep.)*	658	150 (Singapore)
Cost (% of claim)	35.9 (Malta)	13.5 (Oman)	24.6	0.1 (Bhutan)
Procedures (number)	55 (Syrian Arab Republic)	36 (Yemen, Rep.)	44	21 (Singapore)*
Resolving Insolvency (rank)	(3 Economies*)	27 (Bahrain)	105	1 (Japan)
Time (years)	5.0 (Djibouti)	1.3 (Tunisia)	3.2	0.4 (Ireland)
Cost (% of estate)	22 (Egypt, Arab Rep.)*	4 (Oman)	14	1 (Norway)
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0 (Iraq)*	67.4 (Bahrain)	29.4	92.8 (Japan)

\* Two or more economies share the top ranking on this indicator. A number shown in place of an economy's name indicates the number of economies that share the top ranking on the indicator. For a list of these economies, see the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).

Formal registration of companies has many immediate benefits for the companies and for business owners and employees. Legal entities outlive their founders. Resources are pooled as several shareholders join forces to start a company. Formally registered companies have access to services and institutions from courts to banks as well as to new markets. And their employees can benefit from protections provided by the law. An additional benefit comes with limited liability companies. These limit the financial liability of company owners to their investments, so personal assets of the owners are not put at risk.

Where governments make this process easy, more entrepreneurs start businesses in the formal sector, creating more good jobs and generating more revenue for the government.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business measures the ease of starting a business in an economy by recording all procedures officially required or commonly done in practice by an entrepreneur to start up and formally operate an industrial or commercial business—as well as the time and cost required to complete these procedures. It also records the paid-in minimum capital that companies must deposit before registration. The ranking on the ease of starting a business is the simple average of the percentile rankings on the 4 component indicators: procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital requirement.

To make the data comparable across economies, *Doing Business* uses several assumptions about the business and the procedures. It assumes that all information is readily available to the entrepreneur and that there has been no prior contact with officials. It also assumes that the entrepreneur will pay no bribes. And it assumes that the business:

- Is a 100% domestically owned limited liability company, located in the largest business city.
- Has between 10 and 50 employees.

#### WHAT THE STARTING A BUSINESS

#### **INDICATORS MEASURE**

# Procedures to legally start and operate a company (number)

Preregistration (for example, name verification or reservation, notarization)

Registration in the economy's largest business city

Postregistration (for example, social security registration, company seal)

# Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day (2 procedures cannot start on the same day). Procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule.

Procedure considered completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

# **Cost required to complete each procedure** (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

No professional fees unless services required by law

# Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)

Funds deposited in a bank or with a notary before registration (or within 3 months)

- Conducts general commercial or industrial activities.
- Has a start-up capital of 10 times income per capita and has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Does not qualify for any special benefits.
- Does not own real estate.

### Where do the region's economies stand today?

How easy is it for entrepreneurs in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) to start a business? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of starting a business suggest an answer (figure 2.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

Figure 2.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of starting a business



The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to start a business in each economy in the region: the number of procedures, the time, the cost and the paid-in minimum capital requirement (figure 2.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

#### Figure 2.2 What it takes to start a business in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

#### **Procedures (number)**



### Time (days)

45.2 45.0
-
s d

#### Cost (% of income per capita)

Latin America	
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	
Regional Average	
South Asia (SA)	
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	
OECD High Income	A second s
Djibouti	
West Bank and Gaza	
Lebanon	
Yemen, Rep.	
Iraq	
Jordan	
Libya	
Syrian Arab Republic	12.5
Algeria	12.4
Malta	
Egypt, Arab Rep.	
Morocco	
United Arab Emirates	6.4
-	-
Saudi Arabia	-
Tunisia	4.7
Iran, Islamic Rep.	3.1
Oman	2.4
Kuwait	1.1
Bahrain	0.9
(	° ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
	· · · ·

#### Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)



### What are the changes over time?

Economies around the world have taken steps making it easier to start a business—streamlining procedures by setting up a one-stop shop, making procedures simpler or faster by introducing technology, and reducing or eliminating minimum capital requirements. Many have undertaken business registration reforms in stages—and often as part of a larger regulatory reform program. Among the benefits have been greater firm satisfaction and savings and more registered businesses, financial resources and job opportunities.

What business registration reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 2.1)?

Table 2.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made starting a business easier—or r	lot?
By Doing Business report year	

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2008	Egypt, Arab Rep.	The minimum capital required to start a business was cut from EGP 50,000 to EGP 1,000, and halved start-up time and cost.
DB2008	Jordan	Operations within the one-stop shop at the Company Registry were enhanced and a representative of the municipality of Amman was made present at the Company Registry, reducing the number of procedures and the time to start a business.
DB2008	Saudi Arabia	The minimum capital requirement of 1057% income per capita was eliminated and company registration was sped up.
DB2008	Syrian Arab Republic	The Syrian Arab Republic enforced the requirement for Limited Liability Companies and Joint Stock Companies to publish their memorandum of association in the official gazette and showing proof of payment.
DB2008	United Arab Emirates	United Arab Emirates eased the process of starting a business by allowing the publication of the records of the company to be done at DED
DB2009	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Paid in minimum capital was reduced by 20%, and cost was reduced as a result of bar association fees' abolishment and time was cut due to tax registration automation.
DB2009	Jordan	The minimum paid in capital was reduced by more than 96%.
DB2009	Lebanon	Streamlining of the business registration process resulted in tremendous time reduction.
DB2009	Oman	The operationalization of the one-stop shop and the simplification of licensing procedures has resulted in reduing the number of procedures and days significantly.

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2009	Saudi Arabia	Simplification of the start-up processes by eliminating non- added value formalities and thus reducing registration fees and number of days.
DB2009	Syrian Arab Republic	New commercial and commercial code simplified the registration process, abolished the court and lawyers involvement in the registration process, and reforms at the tax directorate further simplified tax registration reducing number of procedures and time significantly.
DB2009	Tunisia	Paid in- minimum capital was abolished.
DB2009	West Bank and Gaza	Full operationalization of the Information Management System project at the commercial registry resulted in substantial time reduction.
DB2009	Yemen, Rep.	The activation of the one-stop shop made it possible to complete stat-up process at once in a single location and made it easier to obtain a license from the municipality and to register with the chamber of commerce and the tax office. It also abolished the seal and paid in minimum capital requirements reducing substantially the number of procedures and days.
DB2010	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt has eased the business start- up process by abolishing the minimum capital requirement.
DB2010	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Iran simplified business start- up with an electronic registration system.
DB2010	Jordan	Jordan eased the process of business start up by offering a single reception service for company registration at the company registrar.
DB2010	Lebanon	Lebanon simplified business start up process through the simplification of the formalities to stamp company books
DB2010	Oman	Oman simplified business start up with the introduction of online name registration and payment at the registry with a prepaid card
DB2010	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia eased business start up with the introduction of a new one-stop Unified Office at the Ministry of Commerce that merged registration procedures and simplified the publication requirements.
DB2010	Syrian Arab Republic	Syria eased business start up by reducing the minimum paid in capital requirement, and allowing access to online standardized incorporation forms.
DB2010	United Arab Emirates	The UAE eased the process of business start-up by abolishing the minimum capital requirement and removing the requirement to show proof of deposit of capital for registration, and further simplified the documents necessary for registration.

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2010	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank & Gaza made it more difficult to start a business by increasing the minimum capital requirement
DB2010	Yemen, Rep.	Yemen simplified the process of business start up by deleting the requirement of obtaining a bank account certificate as a requirement to register the company.
DB2011	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt reduced the cost to start a business.
DB2011	Iran, Islamic Rep.	The Islamic Republic of Iran eased business start-up by installing a web portal allowing entrepreneurs to search for and reserve a unique company name.
DB2011	Lebanon	Lebanon increased the cost of starting a business.
DB2011	Qatar	Qatar made starting a business more difficult by adding a procedure to register for taxes and obtain a company seal.
DB2011	Syrian Arab Republic	Syria eased business start-up by reducing the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies by two- thirds. It also decentralized approval of the company memorandum.
DB2011	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank and Gaza made starting a business more difficult by increasing the lawyers' fees that must be paid for incorporation.
DB2012	Iraq	In Iraq starting a business became more expensive because of an increase in the cost to obtain a name reservation certificate and in the cost for lawyers to draft articles of association.
DB2012	Jordan	Jordan made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement from 1,000 Jordanian dinars to 1 dinar, of which only half must be deposited before company registration.
DB2012	Oman	The one-stop shop in Oman introduced online company registration and sped up the process to register a business from 7 days to 3 days.
DB2012	Qatar	Qatar made starting a business easier by combining commercial registration and registration with the Chamber of Commerce and Industry at the one-stop shop.
DB2012	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia made starting a business easier by bringing together representatives from the Department of Zakat and Income Tax and the General Organization of Social Insurance at the Unified Center to register new companies with their agencies.
DB2012	Syrian Arab Republic	Syria eased the starting a business process by reducing the minimum capital requirement from SYP 1 million to SYP 400,000 and by reducing the cost of publication from SYP 25,000 to SYP 4,000.

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2012	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates made starting a business easier by merging the requirements to file company documents with the Department for Economic Development, to obtain a trade license and to register with the Dubai Chamber of Commerce and Industry.
DB2013	Iran, Islamic Rep.	The Islamic Republic of Iran made starting a business more difficult by requiring company founders to obtain a criminal record clearance to register a new company.
DB2013	Могоссо	Morocco made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.
DB2013	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement for a company to prepare a name board in English and Arabic after having received clearance on the use of office premises.
DB2014	Bahrain	Bahrain made starting a business more expensive by increasing the cost of the business registration certificate.
DB2014	Djibouti	Djibouti made starting a business easier by simplifying registration formalities and eliminating the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.
DB2014	Kuwait	Kuwait made starting a business more difficult by increasing the minimum capital requirement.
DB2014	Могоссо	Morocco made starting a business easier by reducing the company registration fees.
DB2014	Tunisia	Tunisia made starting a business more difficult by increasing the cost of company registration.
DB2014	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank and Gaza made starting a business less costly by eliminating the paid-in minimum capital requirement.

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Regulation of construction is critical to protect the public. But it needs to be efficient, to avoid excessive constraints on a sector that plays an important part in every economy. Where complying with building regulations is excessively costly in time and money, many builders opt out. They may pay bribes to pass inspections or simply build illegally, leading to hazardous construction that puts public safety at risk. Where compliance is simple, straightforward and inexpensive, everyone is better off.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business records the procedures, time and cost for a business in the construction industry to obtain all the necessary approvals to build a simple commercial warehouse in the economy's main city, connect it to basic utilities and register the property so that it can be used as collateral or transferred to another entity.

The ranking on the ease of dealing with construction permits is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: procedures, time and cost.

To make the data comparable across economies, *Doing Business* uses several assumptions about the business and the warehouse, including the utility connections.

The business:

- Is a limited liability company operating in the construction business and located in the largest business city.
- Is domestically owned and operated.
- Has 60 builders and other employees.

The warehouse:

- Is a new construction (there was no previous construction on the land).
- Has complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect or engineer.

#### WHAT THE DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION

#### PERMITS INDICATORS MEASURE

# Procedures to legally build a warehouse (number)

Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates

Submitting all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections

Obtaining utility connections for water, sewerage and a land telephone line

Registering the warehouse after its completion (if required for use as collateral or for transfer of warehouse)

# Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day. Procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule.

Procedure completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

# Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

- Will be connected to water, sewerage (sewage system, septic tank or their equivalent) and a fixed telephone line.
- The connection to each utility network will be 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) long.
- Will be used for general storage, such as of books or stationery (not for goods requiring special conditions).
- Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements).

Doing Business 2014 MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA (MENA)

### DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

### Where do the region's economies stand today?

How easy it is for entrepreneurs in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) to legally build a warehouse? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of dealing with construction permits

Г

suggest an answer (figure 3.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

# Figure 3.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of dealing with construction permits

OECD High Income	63
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	76
Latin America	90
Regional Average	108
South Asia (SA)	114
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	116
Bahrain	4
United Arab Emirates	5
Saudi Arabia	17
Iraq	20
Qatar	23
Oman	69
Morocco	83
Yemen, Rep.	101
Jordan	111
Tunisia	122
West Bank and Gaza	131
Kuwait	133
Algeria	147
Egypt, Arab Rep.	149
Djibouti	157
Malta	163
Iran, Islamic Rep.	169
Lebanon	179
Syrian Arab Republic	189
Libya	189
	1 189
	Rank
4	

The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to comply with formalities to build a warehouse in each economy in the region: the number of procedures, the time and the cost (figure 3.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

Figure 3.2 What it takes to comply with formalities to build a warehouse in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)



#### Procedures (number)

#### Time (days)



#### Cost (% of income per capita)

Г

South Asia (SA)	850.7
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	327.1
Regional Average	283.3
Latin America	156.4
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	104.7
OECD High Income	84.1
*Libya	0.0
*Syrian Arab Republic	0.0
Djibouti	1,949.2
West Bank and Gaza	1,033.9
Jordan	499.5
Lebanon	352.5
Tunisia	255.6
Iran, Islamic Rep.	224.7
Morocco	218.2
Malta	150.1
Egypt, Arab Rep.	108.0
Kuwait	99.2
Algeria Yemen, Rep.	60.1
-	48.2 35.3
Saudi Arabia	24.5
Iraq	17.2
United Arab Emirates	12.0
Bahrain	9.3
Qatar	1.1
	0 500 1000 1500 200
	2. Pr. Pr. Pa

\* Indicates a "no practice" mark. See the data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

### What are the changes over time?

Smart regulation ensures that standards are met while making compliance easy and accessible to all. Coherent and transparent rules, efficient processes and adequate allocation of resources are especially important in sectors where safety is at stake. Construction is one of them. In an effort to ensure building safety while keeping compliance costs reasonable, governments around the world have worked on consolidating permitting requirements. What construction permitting reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 3.1)?

Table 3.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made dealing with construction permits easier—or not?

#### By *Doing Business* report year

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2008	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt made obtaining construction permits less expensive by reducing the fee for building registeration.
DB2008	Kuwait	Kuwait made obtaining construction permits faster by introducing a new automated system for utility approvals and therefore reduced the total time.
DB2008	Morocco	Morocco made obtaining construction permits easier by introducing a one-stop shop which made the permit application less time consuming.
DB2009	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt passed a new building code in 2008 that aims to establish a single window for processing construction related approvals and reduce procedures and time.
DB2009	West Bank and Gaza	Rising prices for construction materials and price indexation increased the cost of dealing with building permits by ILS 14,923.499.
DB2010	Algeria	Algeria introduced new regulations to better administer the construction permitting process and ensure the timely and safe completion of construction projects.
DB2010	Bahrain	Bahrain made obtaining construction permits easier by further consolidating preliminary approvals for building permits in the One-Stop Shop; and reducing the time to obtain a building permit.
DB2010	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt continued to ease the process of dealing with construction permits with the issuance of the Executive

DB year	Economy	Reform
		Articles of the 2008 Construction Law, and eliminating most pre-approvals for construction permits.
DB2010	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Iran eased the process of dealing with construction permits with the introduction of e-service offices in Tehran, streamlining the process for obtaining location approvals, construction permits and building completion certificates, and the time to obtain water and electricity connections fell substantially.
DB2010	Jordan	Jordan eased the process of dealing with construction permits by extending the services of the one-stop shop at the Greater Amman to mid-size commercial construction projects in 2009.
DB2010	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia sped up the process of dealing with construction permits by introducing a 1 day permitting procedure, where builders can obtain a temporary building permit allowing them to begin construction after 1 day and a final building permit after 1 week.
DB2010	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates continued to improve it's online system for processing building permits, which sped up building permit delivery times.
DB2011	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia made dealing with construction permits easier for the second year in a row by introducing a new, streamlined process.
DB2012	Djibouti	Djibouti made dealing with construction permits costlier by increasing the fees for inspections and the building permit and adding a new inspection in the preconstruction phase.
DB2012	Morocco	Morocco made dealing with construction permits easier by opening a one-stop shop.
DB2012	Qatar	Qatar made dealing with construction permits more difficult by increasing the time and cost to process building permits.
DB2014	Malta	Malta made dealing with construction permits less costly by significantly reducing the building permit fees.

Note: For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Access to reliable and affordable electricity is vital for businesses. To counter weak electricity supply, many firms in developing economies have to rely on self-supply, often at a prohibitively high cost. Whether electricity is reliably available or not, the first step for a customer is always to gain access by obtaining a connection.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business records all procedures required for a local business to obtain a permanent electricity connection and supply for a standardized warehouse, as well as the time and cost to complete them. These procedures include applications and contracts with electricity utilities, clearances from other agencies and the external and final connection works. The ranking on the ease of getting electricity is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: procedures, time and cost. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions are used.

The warehouse:

- Is located in the economy's largest business city, in an area where other warehouses are located.
- Is not in a special economic zone where the connection would be eligible for subsidization or faster service.
- Has road access. The connection works involve the crossing of a road or roads but are carried out on public land.
- Is a new construction being connected to electricity for the first time.
- Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface of about 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet), and is built on a plot of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).

The electricity connection:

• Is a 3-phase, 4-wire Y, 140-kilovolt-ampere (kVA) (subscribed capacity) connection.

#### WHAT THE GETTING ELECTRICITY

#### **INDICATORS MEASURE**

# **Procedures to obtain an electricity connection (number)**

- Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances and permits
- Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections
- Obtaining external installation works and possibly purchasing material for these works

Concluding any necessary supply contract and obtaining final supply

# Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Is at least 1 calendar day

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Does not include time spent gathering information

Reflects the time spent in practice, with little follow-up and no prior contact with officials

# **Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)**

Official costs only, no bribes

Excludes value added tax

- Is 150 meters long.
- Is to either the low-voltage or the mediumvoltage distribution network and either overhead or underground, whichever is more common in the economy and in the area where the warehouse is located. The length of any connection in the customer's private domain is negligible.
- Requires crossing of a 10-meter road but all the works are carried out in a public land, so there is no crossing into other people's private property.

• Involves installing one electricity meter. The monthly electricity consumption will be 0.07 gigawatt-hour (GWh). The internal electrical wiring has been completed.

### Where do the region's economies stand today?

How easy is it for entrepreneurs in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) to connect a warehouse to electricity? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of getting electricity suggest an answer (figure 4.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

#### Figure 4.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of getting electricity



The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to get a new electricity connection in each economy in the region: the number of procedures, the time and the cost (figure 4.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

#### Figure 4.2 What it takes to get an electricity connection in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

#### **Procedures (number)**



#### Time (days)



#### Cost (% of income per capita)

South Asia (SA)	1,449.4
Regional Average	1,038.0
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	986.6
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	486.6
Latin America	478.4
OECD High Income	79.1
Djibouti	7,487.0
Yemen, Rep.	3,604.0
Morocco	2,476.3
Algeria	1,562.9
West Bank and Gaza	1,472.2
Tunisia	811.9
Iran, Islamic Rep.	694.9
Syrian Arab Republic	652.8
Malta	463.2
Libya	378.3
Egypt, Arab Rep.	337.4
Jordan	276.3
Iraq	238.1
Lebanon	
Bahrain	55.5
Oman	49.7
Kuwait	44.7
Saudi Arabia	31.1
United Arab Emirates	21.9
Qatar	4.0
	and the the cast

### What are the changes over time?

Obtaining an electricity connection is essential to enable a business to conduct its most basic operations. In many economies the connection process is complicated by the multiple laws and regulations involved—covering service quality, general safety, technical standards, procurement practices and internal wiring installations. In an effort to ensure safety in the connection process while keeping connection costs reasonable, governments around the world have worked to consolidate requirements for obtaining an electricity connection. What reforms in getting electricity has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 4.1)?

# Table 4.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made getting electricity easier—or not? By *Doing Business* report year

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2012	Lebanon	Lebanon made getting electricity less costly by reducing the application fees and security deposit for a new connection.
DB2013	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia made getting electricity more expensive by increasing the connection fees.
DB2013	United Arab Emirates	In the United Arab Emirates the Dubai Electricity and Water Authority made getting electricity easier by introducing an electronic "one window, one step" application process allowing customers to submit and track their applications online and reducing the time for processing the applications.
DB2014	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates made getting electricity easier by eliminating the requirement for site inspections and reducing the time required to provide new connections.

# **REGISTERING PROPERTY**

Ensuring formal property rights is fundamental. Effective administration of land is part of that. If formal property transfer is too costly or complicated, formal titles might go informal again. And where property is informal or poorly administered, it has little chance of being accepted as collateral for loans—limiting access to finance.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business records the full sequence of procedures necessary for a business to purchase property from another business and transfer the property title to the buyer's name. The transaction is considered complete when it is opposable to third parties and when the buyer can use the property, use it as collateral for a bank loan or resell it. The ranking on the ease of registering property is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: procedures, time and cost.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

The parties (buyer and seller):

- Are limited liability companies, 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Are located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

The property (fully owned by the seller):

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita. The sale price equals the value.
- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.

# WHAT THE REGISTERING PROPERTY

#### **INDICATORS MEASURE**

# Procedures to legally transfer title on immovable property (number)

- Preregistration procedures (for example, checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement, paying property transfer taxes)
- Registration procedures in the economy's largest business city
- Postregistration procedures (for example, filing title with the municipality)

# Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day. Procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule.

Procedure considered completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

# Cost required to complete each procedure (% of property value)

Official costs only, no bribes

No value added or capital gains taxes included

- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Consists of 557.4 square meters (6,000 square feet) of land and a 10-year-old, 2-story warehouse of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet). The warehouse is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and legal requirements. There is no heating system. The property will be transferred in its entirety.
# Where do the region's economies stand today?

How easy is it for entrepreneurs in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) to transfer property? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of registering property suggest an answer (figure 5.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

Figure 5.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of registering property



The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to complete a property transfer in each economy in the region: the number of procedures, the time and the cost (figure 5.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

Figure 5.2 What it takes to register property in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

#### **Procedures (number)**



# Time (days)

South Asia (SA)	99.4
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	81.0
Latin America	46.0
Regional Average	33.0
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	26.5
OECD High Income	24.1
*Libya	0.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	63.0
Algeria	63.0
Morocco	60.0
West Bank and Gaza	
Iraq	
Kuwait	47.0
Tunisia	
Djibouti	
Iran, Islamic Rep.	
Bahrain	
Lebanon	
Jordan	
Yemen, Rep.	
Syrian Arab Republic	
Oman	
Malta	
Qatar	
Saudi Arabia	
United Arab Emirates	6.0
	0 10 10 60 60 10

#### Cost (% of property value)



\* Indicates a "no practice" mark. See the data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.

# What are the changes over time?

Economies worldwide have been making it easier for entrepreneurs to register and transfer property—such as by computerizing land registries, introducing time limits for procedures and setting low fixed fees. Many have cut the time required substantially—enabling buyers to use or mortgage their property earlier. What property registration reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 5.1)?

# Table 5.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made registering property easier—or not? By *Doing Business* report year

DB year	Economy	Reform			
DB2008	Djibouti	Property registration was sped up by improving efficiency at the Service des Domaines.			
DB2008	Egypt, Arab Rep.	The cost of registering property was reduced from 3% of the property value to a low fixed fee.			
DB2008	Morocco	Morocco made registering property more cumbersome by implementing the requirement to check several tax agencies, rather than just one, in order to obtain a tax clearance certificate.			
DB2008	Tunisia	Property registry files were computerized, reducing the time needed to register a property.			
DB2009Egypt, Arab Rep.business workflow be Egyptian Surveying A in several procedures transfer a property inDB2009Saudi ArabiaSaudi Arabia adopted registering title deed such as sending the Department or copy done electronically fr		Egypt simplified administrative procedures, reorganized the business workflow between the real estate registry and the Egyptian Surveying Authority (ESA) and introduced time limits in several procedures. These reforms decreased the time to transfer a property in Cairo from 193 to 72 days.			
		Saudi Arabia adopted a comprehensive electronic system of registering title deeds. Procedures that were done manually, such as sending the original title deed to the Records Department or copy the details of the transaction are now done electronically from the Notary Public's office. As a result, the parties can transfer a property in 2 procedures and 2 days.			
DB2010	Algeria	Algeria has made it easier and less costly to register property by reducing notary fees by 0.39% of the value of the property,			

DB year	Economy	Reform		
		and eliminating the capital gains tax.		
DB2010	Jordan	Jordan reduced property transfer fees from 10 to 7.5% of property value.		
DB2010	West Bank and Gaza	The West Bank and Gaza has sped up the process of registering property with a major project to computerize records at the land registry.		
DB2011	Bahrain	Bahrain made registering property more burdensome by increasing the fees at the Survey and Land Registration Bureau.		
DB2013	Morocco	Morocco made registering property more costly by increasing property registration fees.		
DB2013	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank and Gaza made transferring property more costly by increasing the property transfer fee.		
DB2014	Morocco	Morocco made transferring property easier by reducing the time required to register a deed of transfer at the tax authority.		
DB2014	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates made transferring property easier by increasing the operating hours of the land registry and reducing transfer fees.		

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Two types of frameworks can facilitate access to credit and improve its allocation: credit information systems and the borrowers and lenders' rights in collateral and bankruptcy laws. Credit information systems enable lenders to view a potential borrower's financial history (positive or negative) valuable information to consider when assessing risk. And they permit borrowers to establish a good credit history that will allow easier access to credit. Sound collateral laws enable businesses to use their assets, especially movable property, as security to generate capital—while strong creditors' rights have been associated with higher ratios of private sector credit to GDP.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business assesses the sharing of credit information and the legal rights of borrowers and lenders with respect to secured transactions through 2 sets of indicators. The depth of credit information index measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through a public credit registry or a private credit bureau. The strength of legal rights index measures whether certain features that facilitate lending exist within the applicable collateral and bankruptcy laws. Doing Business uses case scenarios to determine the scope of the secured transactions system, involving a secured borrower and a secured lender and examining legal restrictions on the use of movable collateral. These scenarios assume that the borrower:

- Is a private, incorporated, limited liability company.
- Has its headquarters and only base of operations in the largest business city.

### WHAT THE GETTING CREDIT INDICATORS MEASURE

# Strength of legal rights index (0–10)

Protection of rights of borrowers and lenders through collateral laws

Protection of secured creditors' rights through bankruptcy laws

#### Depth of credit information index (0–6)

Scope and accessibility of credit information distributed by public credit registries and private credit bureaus

#### Public credit registry coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in public credit registry as percentage of adult population

#### Private credit bureau coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in largest private credit bureau as percentage of adult population

- Has up to 100 employees.
- Is 100% domestically owned, as is the lender.

The ranking on the ease of getting credit is based on the percentile rankings on the sum of its component indicators: the depth of credit information index and the strength of legal rights index.

# Where do the region's economies stand today?

How well do the credit information systems and collateral and bankruptcy laws in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) facilitate access to credit? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of getting credit suggest an answer (figure 6.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

#### Figure 6.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of getting credit



Another way to assess how well regulations and institutions support lending and borrowing in the region is to look at the distribution of its economies by their scores on the getting credit indicators. Figure 6.2 shows how many economies in the region received a

#### Figure 6.2 How strong are legal rights for borrowers and lenders in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)?

Number of economies in region with each score on strength of legal rights index (0-10)



*Note:* Higher scores indicate that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to facilitate access to credit. *Source: Doing Business* database.

particular score on the strength of legal rights index. Figure 6.3 shows the same thing for the depth of credit information index. Higher scores indicate stronger legal rights for borrowers and lenders and more credit information.

# Figure 6.3 How extensive—and how accessible—is credit information in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)?

Number of economies in region with each score on depth of credit information index (0–6)



*Note:* Higher scores indicate the availability of more credit information, from either a credit registry or a credit bureau, to facilitate lending decisions. *Source: Doing Business* database.

# What are the changes over time?

When economies strengthen the legal rights of lenders and borrowers under collateral and bankruptcy laws, and increase the scope, coverage and accessibility of credit information, they can increase entrepreneurs' access to credit. What credit reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 6.1)?

# Table 6.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made getting credit easier—or not? By *Doing Business* report year

DB year	Economy	Reform			
DB2008	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt eased the access to credit information by creating a new private credit bureau. The new credit bureau will distribute negative data about consumers and firms. It is guaranteed by law that borrowers can access the credit information stored in the private bureau.			
DB2008	Kuwait	The private credit bureau has added retailers to its information suppliers database			
DB2008	Saudi Arabia	The credit information index for the private bureau was enhanced by launching a commercial credit bureau that issues reports including the credit exposure of companies.			
DB2008	Tunisia	Credit information was enhanced by lowering the minimum loan requirement at its public registry from 20,000 DT to zero.			
DB2008	West Bank and Gaza	The public credit registry lowered the minimum loan requirement from 10,000 USD to 0, and instructed all the banks to disclose all loans granted to customers without minimum requirements; coverage has tripled.			
DB2009	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Borrowers have the right to inspect the data stored in the private credit bureau, thanks to new regulations from the Central bank of Egypt. Allowing borrowers to check their data helps improve the quality and accuracy of credit information in Egypt			
DB2009	Morocco	New regulations in Morocco guarantee the right of borrowers to inspect the data stored in the public credit registry. By being able to review their credit information, borrowers help improve the quality and accuracy of the credit data utilized by financial institutions			
DB2009	Tunisia	After a legal reform, Tunisia now collects and distributes more			

DB year	Economy	Reform			
		detailed information from banks, including positive information (like loan amounts) and negative information (like arrears and defaults). Also, it is now guaranteed by law that individuals and firms can consult their credit data in all Central Bank offices.			
DB2009 United Arab Emirates		United Arab Emirates established a new private credit bureau that collects information on all loans. Borrowers also have the right to inspect their credit data in the new bureau. The bureau will significantly increase the capacity of banks to better assess the credit worthiness of borrowers.			
DB2009	2009 West Bank and Gaza West Ban				
DB2010	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Access to credit information in Egypt has expanded with the addition of retailers to the database of the private credit bureau, I-score.			
DB2010	Μοτοςςο	Morocco has strengthened access to credit with a new private credit bureau which started operations in March 2009.			
DB2010	Yemen, Rep.	Yemen improved its access to credit information by removing the minimum loan threshold for inclusion in the database an guaranteeing the right of borrowers to view their credit reports.			
DB2011	Iran, Islamic Rep.	The establishment of a new private credit bureau improved access to credit information.			
DB2011	Jordan	Jordan improved its credit information system by setting up a regulatory framework for establishing a private credit bureau as well as lowering the threshold for loans to be reported to the public credit registry.			
DB2011	Lebanon	Lebanon improved its credit information system by allowing banks online access to the public credit registry's reports.			
DB2011	Saudi Arabia	An amendment to Saudi Arabia's commercial lien law enhanced access to credit by making secured lending more flexible and allowing out-of-court enforcement in case of default.			

DB year	Economy	Reform		
DB2011	Syrian Arab Republic	Syria enhanced access to credit by eliminating the minimum threshold for loans included in the database, which expanded the coverage of individuals and firms to 2.8% of the adult population.		
DB2011	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates enhanced access to credit by setting up a legal framework for the operation of the private credit bureau and requiring that financial institutions share credit information.		
DB2012	Algeria	Algeria improved its credit information system by guaranteeing by law the right of borrowers to inspect their personal data.		
DB2012	Oman	Oman improved its credit information system by launching the Bank Credit and Statistical Bureau System, which collects historical information on performing and nonperforming loans for both firms and individuals.		
DB2012	Qatar	Qatar improved its credit information system by starting to distribute historical data and eliminating the minimum threshold for loans included in the database.		
DB2012	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates improved its credit information system through a new law allowing the establishment of a federal credit bureau under the supervision of the central bank.		
DB2013	Algeria	Algeria improved access to credit information by eliminating the minimum threshold for loans to be included in the database.		
DB2013	Oman	Oman improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.		
DB2013	Syrian Arab Republic	Syria improved access to credit information by establishing an online system for data exchange between all banks and microfinance institutions and the central bank's credit registry.		
DB2013	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank and Gaza improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.		
DB2014	Bahrain	Bahrain improved access to credit information by starting to collect payment information from retailers.		

DB year	Economy	Reform				
DB2014	Djibouti	Djibouti strengthened its secured transactions system by adopting a new commercial code, which broadens the range of movable assets that can be used as collateral.				

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Protecting investors matters for the ability of companies to raise the capital they need to grow, innovate, diversify and compete. If the laws do not protect minority shareholders, investors may be reluctant to provide funding to companies through the purchase of shares unless they become the controlling shareholders. Effective regulations define related-party transactions precisely, promote clear and efficient disclosure requirements, require shareholder participation in major decisions of the company and set detailed standards of accountability for company insiders.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors' use of corporate assets for personal gain-or self-dealing. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor transparency protections: of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and minority shareholders' access to evidence before and during (ease of shareholder suits index). The ranking on the strength of investor protection index is the simple average of the percentile rankings on these 3 indices. To make the data comparable across economies, a case study uses several assumptions about the business and the transaction.

The business (Buyer):

- Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy's most important stock exchange (or at least a large private company with multiple shareholders).
- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.

The transaction involves the following details:

 Mr. James, a director and the majority shareholder of the company, proposes that the company purchase used trucks from another company he owns.

#### WHAT THE PROTECTING INVESTORS INDICATORS MEASURE

#### **Extent of disclosure index (0–10)**

Approval process for related-party transactions

Disclosure requirements in case of relatedparty transactions

#### Extent of director liability index (0–10)

Ability of minority shareholders to file a direct or derivative lawsuit

Ability of minority shareholders to hold interested parties and members of the approving body liable for prejudicial relatedparty transactions

Available legal remedies (damages, disgorgement of profits, fines, imprisonment and rescission of the transaction)

#### Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)

Access to internal corporate documents (directly or through a government inspector)

Documents and information available during trial

#### Strength of investor protection index (0–10)

Simple average of the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices

- The price is higher than the going price for used trucks, but the transaction goes forward.
- All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made, though the transaction is prejudicial to Buyer.
- Shareholders sue the interested parties and the members of the board of directors.

# Where do the region's economies stand today?

How strong are investor protections against selfdealing in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)? The global rankings of these economies on the strength of investor protection index suggest an answer (figure 7.1). While the indicator does not measure all aspects related to the protection of minority investors, a higher ranking does indicate that an economy's regulations offer stronger investor protections against self-dealing in the areas measured.

# Figure 7.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the strength of investor protection index

OECD High Income	56
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	65
South Asia (SA)	80
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	86
Regional Average	113
Latin America	114
Saudi Arabia	22
Tunisia	52
Malta	68
West Bank and Gaza	80
Kuwait	80
United Arab Emirates	98
Oman	98
Lebanon	98
Algeria	98
Syrian Arab Republic	115
Morocco	115
Bahrain	115
Qatar	128
Iraq	128
Yemen, Rep.	138
Iran, Islamic Rep.	147
Egypt, Arab Rep.	147
Jordan	170
Djibouti	182
Libya	187
1	1 189
	Rank

But the overall ranking on the strength of investor protection index tells only part of the story. Economies may offer strong protections in some areas but not others. So the number of economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) that have a certain score recorded on the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices may also be revealing (figure 7.2). Higher scores indicate stronger investor protections. Comparing the scores across the region on the strength of investor protection index and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

#### Figure 7.2 How strong are investor protections in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)?

#### Strength of investor protection index (0–10)

Regional Average	4.5			
Latin America	4.5			
South Asia (SA)	5.1			
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	5.3			
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	5.7			
OECD High Income	6.2			
Libya	1.7			
Djibouti	2.3			
Jordan	3.0			
Iran, Islamic Rep.	3.7			
Egypt, Arab Rep.	3.7			
Yemen, Rep.	4.0			
Qatar	4.3			
Iraq	4.3			
Syrian Arab Republic	4.7			
Morocco	4.7			
Bahrain	4.7			
United Arab Emirates	5.0			
Oman	5.0			
Lebanon	5.0			
Algeria	5.0			
West Bank and Gaza	5.3			
Kuwait	5.3			
Malta	5.7			
Tunisia	6.0			
Saudi Arabia	6.7			
	 0 7 k 6 f			
	0 7 1 6 8			

#### Extent of disclosure index (0-10)

Number of economies in region with each score on extent of disclosure index (0–10)



*Note:* Higher scores indicate greater disclosure. *Source: Doing Business* database.

#### Extent of director liability index (0–10)

Number of economies in region with each score on extent of director liability index (0–10)



*Note:* Higher scores indicate greater liability of directors. *Source: Doing Business* database.

#### Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)

Number of economies in region with each score on ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)



*Note:* Higher scores indicate greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction.

# What are the changes over time?

Economies with the strongest protections of minority investors from self-dealing require detailed disclosure and define clear duties for directors. They also have well-functioning courts and up-to-date procedural rules that give minority shareholders the means to prove their case and obtain a judgment within a reasonable time. So reforms to strengthen investor protections may move ahead on different fronts—such as through new or amended company laws, securities regulations or revisions to court procedures. What investor protection reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 7.1)?

Table 7.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) strengthened investor protections—or not?

#### By Doing Business report year

DB year	Economy Reform			
DB2009	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt strengthened investor protections by requiring that an independent body (auditor) assess transactions between interested parties as a prior step to approval.		
DB2009	Saudi ArabiaSaudi Arabia strengthened investor protections by interested parties to vote on the approval of related transactions, and by increasing sanctions against di			
DB2009	Tunisia	Tunisia strengthened investor protections by allowing minority investors to request in court the rescission of prejudicial related-party transactions.		
DB2010	Tunisia	Tunisia amended the Code des Sociétés Commerciales that strengthened investor protections by requiring greater corporate disclosure.		
DB2011	Morocco	Morocco strengthened investor protections by requiring greater disclosure in companies' annual reports.		
DB2012	Morocco	Morocco strengthened investor protections by allowing minority shareholders to obtain any nonconfidential corporate document during trial.		
DB2013 Iran, Islamic Rep.		The Islamic Republic of Iran strengthened investor protections by requiring greater immediate disclosure of related-party transactions.		

DB year	DB year Economy Reform					
DB2014 Kuwait		Kuwait strengthened investor protections by making it possible for minority shareholders to request the appointment of an auditor to review the company's activities.				
DB2014	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates strengthened investor protections by introducing greater disclosure requirements for related- party transactions in the annual report and to the stock exchange and by making it possible to sue directors when such transactions harm				

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org. *Source: Doing Business* database.

Taxes are essential. They fund the public amenities, infrastructure and services that are crucial for a properly functioning economy. But the level of tax rates needs to be carefully chosen—and needless complexity in tax rules avoided. According to *Doing Business* data, in economies where it is more difficult and costly to pay taxes, larger shares of economic activity end up in the informal sector—where businesses pay no taxes at all.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Using a case scenario, Doing Business measures the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year as well as the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions. This case scenario uses a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the year. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing and payments as well as time taken to comply with tax laws. The ranking on the ease of paying taxes is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: number of annual payments, time and total tax rate, with a threshold being applied to the total tax rate.<sup>1</sup> To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

- TaxpayerCo is a medium-size business that started operations on January 1, 2011.
- The business starts from the same financial position in each economy. All the taxes and mandatory contributions paid during the second year of operation are recorded.
- Taxes and mandatory contributions are measured at all levels of government.

# WHAT THE PAYING TAXES INDICATORS

### MEASURE

#### Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2012 (number per year adjusted for electronic or joint filing and payment)

Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax)

Method and frequency of filing and payment

# Time required to comply with 3 major taxes (hours per year)

Collecting information and computing the tax payable

Completing tax return forms, filing with proper agencies

Arranging payment or withholding

Preparing separate tax accounting books, if required

#### Total tax rate (% of profit)

Profit or corporate income tax

Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer

Property and property transfer taxes

Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes

Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes

- Taxes and mandatory contributions include corporate income tax, turnover tax and all labor taxes and contributions paid by the company.
- A range of standard deductions and exemptions are also recorded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The threshold is defined as the highest total tax rate among the top 15% of economies in the ranking on the total tax rate. It is calculated and adjusted on a yearly basis. The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an "optimal tax rate" that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in the tax system of an economy overall. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the indicators toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the *Doing Business* standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology). This year's threshold is 25.5%.

# Where do the region's economies stand today?

What is the administrative burden of complying with taxes in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)—and how much do firms pay in taxes? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of

paying taxes offer useful information for assessing the tax compliance burden for businesses (figure 8.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.



#### Figure 8.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of paying taxes

*Note:* For all economies with a total tax rate below the threshold of 25.5% applied in DB2014, the total tax rate is set at 25.5% for the purpose of calculating the ranking on the ease of paying taxes. *Source: Doing Business* database.

The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to comply with tax regulations in each economy in the region—the number of payments per year and the time required to prepare and file taxesas well as the total tax rate (figure 8.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

Figure 8.2 How easy is it to pay taxes in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)—and what are the total tax rates?



#### Payments (number per year)

#### Time (hours per year)



## Total tax rate (% of profit)

Latin America			51.1	1	
OECD High Income			41.3		
South Asia (SA)			40.6		
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)			38.6		
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)		34.	5		
Regional Average		32.3			
Algeria				71.	9
Tunisia				62.4	
Morocco			49.6		
Iran, Islamic Rep.			44.1		
Egypt, Arab Rep.			42.6		
Malta			41.0		
Syrian Arab Republic			39.7		
Djibouti			37.8		
Yemen, Rep.		32.7			
Libya		31.6			
Lebanon		30.2			
Jordan		28.9			
Iraq		27.8			
Oman		22.0			
West Bank and Gaza	16.5				
United Arab Emirates	14.9				
Saudi Arabia	14.5				
Bahrain	13.5				
Kuwait	12.4				
Qatar	11.3				
(	0	P	20	Ŷ	<del>%</del> 0

# What are the changes over time?

Economies around the world have made paying taxes faster and easier for businesses—such as by consolidating filings, reducing the frequency of payments or offering electronic filing and payment. Many have lowered tax rates. Changes have brought concrete results. Some economies simplifying tax payment and reducing rates have seen tax revenue rise. What tax reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 8.1)?

# Table 8.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made paying taxes easier—or not? By *Doing Business* report year

DB year	Economy	Reform		
DB2008	Syrian Arab Republic	No impact on Doing Business 2008 indicators.		
DB2008	Tunisia	No impact on Doing Business 2008 indicators.		
DB2008	West Bank and Gaza	West Bank and Gaza reduced the tax burden for companies by reducing the CIT rate		
DB2009	Morocco	Effective 2008, the corporate income tax rate will be reduced from 35% to 30%. Gains made from sale of certain buildings exempt from Capital Gains Tax. Fixed registration duty rates on deeds are abolished and the various tax rates on insurance contracts are increased.		
DB2009	Tunisia	On March 15, 2008, the Ministry of Finance introduced the option for téléliquidation which enables online declaration of taxes accompanied by physical payments at the tax bureaus.		
DB2010	Algeria	Algeria reduced the corporate income tax rate from 25% to 19% for production of goods, construction and public works and tourism.		
DB2010	Djibouti	Djibouti eased the burden of paying taxes on businesses by introducing VAT of 7% on the supply of goods and services to replace the consumption tax		
DB2010	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Iran, Islamic Republic has eased the tax burden on business by converting the sales tax into value added tax.		
DB2010	Jordan	Jordan eased the process of paying taxes for business with the introduction of an online filing and payment system, and		

DB year	Economy	Reform		
		a simplification of filing forms.		
DB2010	Lebanon	Lebanon has made it easier to pay taxes by removing the requirement to obtain permission to use accelerated depreciation, and by introducing electronic payment.		
DB2010	Oman	A new tax law in Oman has been legislated to modernise the existing tax regime and simplify procedures.		
DB2010	Tunisia	Tunisia has increased the tax cost of employment by raising social security contributions.		
DB2011	Jordan	Jordan abolished certain taxes and made it possible to file income and sales tax returns electronically.		
DB2011	Tunisia	Tunisia introduced the use of electronic systems for payment of corporate income tax and value added tax.		
DB2012	Μοτοςςο	Morocco eased the administrative burden of paying taxes for firms by enhancing electronic filing and payment of the corporate income tax and value added tax.		
DB2012	Oman	Oman enacted a new income tax law that redefined the scope of taxation.		
DB2012	Yemen, Rep.	The Republic of Yemen enacted a new tax law that reduced the general corporate tax rate from 35% to 20% and abolished all tax exemptions except those granted under the investment law for investment projects.		
DB2013	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia made paying taxes easier for companies by introducing online filing and payment systems for social security contributions.		
DB2013	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates made paying taxes easier for companies by establishing an online filing and payment system for social security contributions.		
DB2014	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt made paying taxes more costly for companies by increasing the corporate income tax rate.		
DB2014	Могоссо	Morocco made paying taxes easier for companies by		

DB year	Economy	Reform
		increasing the use of the electronic filing and payment system for social security contributions.
DB2014	Qatar	Qatar made paying taxes easier for companies by eliminating certain requirements associated with the corporate income tax return.

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

In today's globalized world, making trade between economies easier is increasingly important for business. Excessive document requirements, burdensome customs procedures, inefficient port operations and inadequate infrastructure all lead to extra costs and delays for exporters and importers, stifling trade potential. Research shows that exporters in developing countries gain more from a 10% drop in their trading costs than from a similar reduction in the tariffs applied to their products in global markets.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business measures the time and cost (excluding tariffs and the time and cost for sea transport) associated with exporting and importing a standard shipment of goods by sea transport, and the number of documents necessary to complete the transaction. The indicators cover procedural requirements such as documentation requirements and procedures at customs and other regulatory agencies as well as at the port. They also cover trade logistics, including the time and cost of inland transport to the largest business city. The ranking on the ease of trading across borders is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: documents, time and cost to export and import.

To make the data comparable across economies, *Doing Business* uses several assumptions about the business and the traded goods.

The business:

- Is of medium size and employs 60 people.
- Is located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city.
- Is a private, limited liability company, domestically owned, formally registered and operating under commercial laws and regulations of the economy.

The traded goods:

 Are not hazardous nor do they include military items.

### WHAT THE TRADING ACROSS BORDERS INDICATORS MEASURE

# Documents required to export and import (number)

Bank documents

- Customs clearance documents
- Port and terminal handling documents
- Transport documents

#### Time required to export and import (days)

Obtaining, filling out and submitting all the documents

Inland transport and handling

Customs clearance and inspections

Port and terminal handling

Does not include sea transport time

# Cost required to export and import (US\$ per container)

All documentation

Inland transport and handling

Customs clearance and inspections

Port and terminal handling

Official costs only, no bribes

- Do not require refrigeration or any other special environment.
- Do not require any special phytosanitary or environmental safety standards other than accepted international standards.
- Are one of the economy's leading export or import products.
- Are transported in a dry-cargo, 20-foot full container load.

# Where do the region's economies stand today?

How easy it is for businesses in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) to export and import goods? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of trading across borders suggest an answer (figure 9.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.





Source: Doing Business database.

The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to export or import a standard container of goods in each economy in the region: the number of documents, the time and the cost (figure 9.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

#### Figure 9.2 What it takes to trade across borders in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

#### South Asia (SA) 8 Europe and Central Asia (ECA) 7 Regional Average 6 Latin America 6 East Asia and the Pacific (EAP) 6 OECD High Income 4 Irag Syrian Arab Republic 8 Egypt, Arab Rep. Algeria 8 Oman Libya Kuwait Iran, Islamic Rep. Bahrain Yemen, Rep. West Bank and Gaza 6 Saudi Arabia Qatar Morocco Malta Jordan Djibouti Tunisia Lebanon United Arab Emirates ø r ٥. 6 ÷ ŝ Ŷ

#### Documents to export (number)

#### Time to export (days)



## Cost to export (US\$ per container)

Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	2,109
South Asia (SA)	1,787
Latin America	1,454
Regional Average	1,127
OECD High Income	1,070
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	856
Iraq	3,550
Syrian Arab Republic	1,740
Iran, Islamic Rep.	1,470
West Bank and Gaza	1,360
Algeria	1,270
Libya	1,140
Kuwait	1,085
Lebanon	1,080
Saudi Arabia	1,055
Yemen, Rep.	995
Bahrain	955
Qatar	885
Djibouti	885
Malta	855
Jordan	825
Tunisia	775
Oman	745
United Arab Emirates	655
Egypt, Arab Rep.	625
Morocco	595
c	
	0 1000 2000 3000 k000

## Documents to import (number)

South Asia (SA)						10	
Regional Average					8		
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)					8		
Latin America				7			
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)				7			
OECD High Income			4				
Kuwait						10	
Iraq						10	
Iran, Islamic Rep.						10	
Egypt, Arab Rep.						10	
Yemen, Rep.					9		
Syrian Arab Republic					9		
Libya					9		
Algeria					9		
Oman					8		
Bahrain					8		
Saudi Arabia				7			
Qatar				7			
Могоссо				7			
Malta				7			
Lebanon				7			
Jordan				7			
West Bank and Gaza				6			
Tunisia				6			
United Arab Emirates			5				
Djibouti			5				
•	0	r	⊳	6	•	s⁰	\$

## Time to import (days)

South Asia (SA)	34
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	26
Regional Average	24
Latin America	
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	22
OECD High Income	10
Iraq	82
West Bank and Gaza	38
Libya	37
Iran, Islamic Rep.	37
Lebanon	30
Algeria	27
Syrian Arab Republic	26
Yemen, Rep.	25
Kuwait	19
Djibouti	
Tunisia	17
Saudi Arabia	17
Qatar	17
Morocco	16
Jordan	15
Egypt, Arab Rep.	
Bahrain	
Oman	9
Malta	
United Arab Emirates	7
	o 10 ko 60 40 40
## TRADING ACROSS BORDERS

## Cost to import (US\$ per container)

Europe and Central Asia (ECA)		2,339		
South Asia (SA)		1,968		
Latin America	1,639			
Regional Average	1,360			
OECD High Income	1,090			
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	884			
Iraq			3,650	)
Libya		2,255		
Iran, Islamic Rep.		2,100		
Syrian Arab Republic		2,075		
Yemen, Rep.	1,490			
West Bank and Gaza	1,390			
Lebanon	1,365			
Algeria	1,330			
Kuwait	1,250			
Jordan	1,235			
Saudi Arabia	1,229			
Qatar	1,033			
Bahrain	995			
Morocco	970			
Malta	970			
Djibouti	910			
Tunisia	860			
Egypt, Arab Rep.	790			
Oman	680			
United Arab Emirates	615			
		æ	æ	~
	1000	2000	3000	A000

## TRADING ACROSS BORDERS

## What are the changes over time?

In economies around the world, trading across borders as measured by Doing Business has become faster and easier over the years. Governments have introduced tools to facilitate trade—including single windows, risk-based inspections and electronic data interchange systems. These changes help improve their trading environment and boost firms' international competitiveness. What trade reforms has Doing Business recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 9.1)?

Table 9.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made trading across borders easier—or not?

#### By Doing Business report year

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2008	Algeria	Algeria has made trading across borders more difficult by increasing the amount of inspections carried out by authorities.
DB2008	Djibouti	Djibouti eased trading across borders by implementign an e- manifest system.
DB2008	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt eased trade by improving Customs administration.
DB2008	Morocco	Morocco eased trading across borders by introducing a risk- based system for inspections.
DB2008	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia eased importing by abolishing the requirement for a consular certificate as well as allowing the transfer of data electronically thereby not requiring hard copies of documents to be submitted. There has also been an improvement in the capacity of ports facilities, thus allowing the port of Jeddah to clear higher number of containers per day.
DB2009	Djibouti	Port administration improvements and abolishing of documents decreased export and import documentation, as well as import time.
DB2009	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt upgraded port facilities at Alexandria and speeded up customs clearance and greater competition in the banking sector led to a reduction in the time to open a letter of credit.

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2009	Могоссо	Morocco abolished the container ID card thereby speeding up the import and export process.
DB2009	Syrian Arab Republic	The entry of private banks into the Syrian market sped up the issuing of Letters of Credit.
DB2009	Tunisia	Tunisia required freight arriving at the port to be accompanied by a unit of the customs authority and thereby increased the time to import.
DB2010	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Iran sped trade time with the installation of scanners at the port of Shahid Rajaee and reorganization of the customs clearance offices separating special goods (chemicals, petroleum) from general goods inspections.
DB2010	Jordan	The implementation of a risk-based inspections regime of post-destination clearance for pre-approved traders as well as a reduction of the number of containers subject to physicall inspection to 30% as well as the full implementation of ASYCUDA WORLD, allowing online customs declaration submission, have reduced the clearance time by customs by 2 days for exporters and 3 days for importers.
DB2010	Kuwait	Improvement of the customs administrative procedures and training of human resources have helped reduce the time to clear goods in Kuwait.
DB2010	Tunisia	Through the expansion of the TradeNet electronic single window, Tunisian traders can quickly file all documents required to clear their cargo online. While this has decreased the delays of processing by 2 days, the requirement to still physically provide original documents obstruct on the greater impact the technological innovation could have.
DB2010	United Arab Emirates	Trading across borders in Dubai, UAE has improved due to greater capacity at the container terminal, the elimination of the terminal handling receipt as a necessary document, and increased trade finance products.
DB2010	Yemen, Rep.	The implementation of a risk-based inspection and the installation of an EDI system has reduced the time required to

DB year	Economy	Reform
		clear goods at the customs
DB2011	Bahrain	Bahrain made it easier to trade by building a modern new port, improving the electronic data interchange system and introducing risk-based inspections.
DB2011	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Egypt made trading easier by introducing an electronic system for submitting export and import documents.
DB2011	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia reduced the time to import by launching a new container terminal at the Jeddah Islamic Port.
DB2011	Tunisia	Tunisia upgraded its electronic data interchange system for imports and exports, speeding up the assembly of import documents.
DB2011	United Arab Emirates	The United Arab Emirates streamlined document preparation and reduced the time to trade with the launch of Dubai Customs' comprehensive new customs system, Mirsal 2.
DB2011	West Bank and Gaza	More efficient processes at Palestinian customs made trading easier in the West Bank.
DB2012	Djibouti	Djibouti made trading across borders faster by developing a new container terminal.
DB2012	Jordan	Jordan made trading across borders faster by introducing X- ray scanners for risk management systems.
DB2013	Qatar	Qatar reduced the time to export and import by introducing a new online portal allowing electronic submission of customs declarations for clearance at the Doha seaport.

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Effective commercial dispute resolution has many benefits. Courts are essential for entrepreneurs because they interpret the rules of the market and protect economic rights. Efficient and transparent courts encourage new business relationships because businesses know they can rely on the courts if a new customer fails to pay. Speedy trials are essential for small enterprises, which may lack the resources to stay in business while awaiting the outcome of a long court dispute.

#### What do the indicators cover?

*Doing Business* measures the efficiency of the judicial system in resolving a commercial dispute before local courts. Following the step-by-step evolution of a standardized case study, it collects data relating to the time, cost and procedural complexity of resolving a commercial lawsuit. The ranking on the ease of enforcing contracts is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators: procedures, time and cost.

The dispute in the case study involves the breach of a sales contract between 2 domestic businesses. The case study assumes that the court hears an expert on the quality of the goods in dispute. This distinguishes the case from simple debt enforcement. To make the data comparable across economies, *Doing Business* uses several assumptions about the case:

- The seller and buyer are located in the economy's largest business city.
- The buyer orders custom-made goods, then fails to pay.
- The seller sues the buyer before a competent court.
- The value of the claim is 200% of income per capita.
- The seller requests a pretrial attachment to secure the claim.

## WHAT THE ENFORCING CONTRACTS

#### **INDICATORS MEASURE**

# Procedures to enforce a contract through the courts (number)

Steps to file and serve the case

- Steps for trial and judgment
- Steps to enforce the judgment

# Time required to complete procedures (calendar days)

Time to file and serve the case

Time for trial and obtaining judgment

Time to enforce the judgment

# Cost required to complete procedures (% of claim)

Average attorney fees Court costs

Enforcement costs

- The dispute on the quality of the goods requires an expert opinion.
- The judge decides in favor of the seller; there is no appeal.
- The seller enforces the judgment through a public sale of the buyer's movable assets.

## Where do the region's economies stand today?

How efficient is the process of resolving a commercial dispute through the courts in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of enforcing contracts

suggest an answer (figure 10.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark.

#### Figure 10.1 How economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) rank on the ease of enforcing contracts



The indicators underlying the rankings may also be revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show what it takes to enforce a contract through the courts in each economy in the region: the number of procedures, the time and the cost (figure 10.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

Figure 10.2 What it takes to enforce a contract through the courts in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)



#### Procedures (number)

## Time (days)

South Asia (SA)	1,075
Latin America	778
Regional Average	658
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)	551
OECD High Income	529
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)	441
Djibouti	1,225
Egypt, Arab Rep.	1,010
Syrian Arab Republic	872
Lebanon	721
Libya	690
Jordan	689
Yemen, Rep.	645
Saudi Arabia	635
Bahrain	635
Algeria	630
Oman	598
Qatar	570
Kuwait	566
Tunisia	565
West Bank and Gaza	540
United Arab Emirates	524
Iraq	520
Morocco	510
Malta	505
Iran, Islamic Rep.	505
c c	and the sec and the sec and and the
	, , ,

## Cost (% of claim)

48.7			EAP)
30.3			erica
7.7	27		(SA)
1	25.3		ECA)
	24.6		erage
	21.0		come
35.9			Malta
34.0			bouti
31.2			ordan
30.8			
29.3	29.3		
28.1			Iraq
	27.5		
	27.0		
	26.5		
			Rep.
			rocco
	21.9		geria
	21.8		inisia
	21.6		Qatar
	21.2		Gaza
	.9.5		irates
	3.8		uwait
		17.0	Rep.
		14.7	hrain
		13.5	Oman
30 k0	20	<b>1</b> 0	0
· ·		,	

## What are the changes over time?

Economies in all regions have improved contract enforcement in recent years. A judiciary can be improved in different ways. Higher-income economies tend to look for ways to enhance efficiency by introducing new technology. Lower-income economies often work on reducing backlogs by introducing

periodic reviews to clear inactive cases from the docket and by making procedures faster. What reforms making it easier (or more difficult) to enforce contracts has Doing Business recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 10.1)?

#### Table 10.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made enforcing contracts easier—or not?

**DB** year **Economy** Reform **DB2009** United Arab Emirates UAE introduced e-filing Algeria has improved contract enforcement with a new Code of Civil Procedure that reduces steps and time, and the courts **DB2010** Algeria are now being fully computerized that includes setting up an electronic case register and case management software. Egypt eased the process of enforcing contracts by creating DB2010 Egypt, Arab Rep. commercial courts. Jordan has improved contract enforcement by setting up a specialized commercial court division and equipping its courts with a computer aided case-management system. **DB2010** Jordan Further, a raise in threshold of the Conciliation Court (the lower Court) is expected to result in a better distribution of case load. In the West Bank and Gaza, contract enforcement has sped up as new judges have been trained, recruited and appointed. West Bank and Gaza DB2010 Courts now have an "enforcement judge" in charge solely of execution, and now possess computerized case management software. The Islamic Republic of Iran made enforcing contracts easier and faster by introducing electronic filing of some DB2011 Iran, Islamic Rep. documents, text message notification and an electronic case management system. Saudi Arabia made enforcing contracts easier by expanding Saudi Arabia DB2013 the computerization of its courts and introducing an electronic filing system.

By Doing Business report year

Note: For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the Doing Business reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

A robust bankruptcy system functions as a filter, ensuring the survival of economically efficient companies and reallocating the resources of inefficient ones. Fast and cheap insolvency proceedings result in the speedy return of businesses to normal operation and increase returns to creditors. By improving the expectations of creditors and debtors about the outcome of insolvency proceedings, well-functioning insolvency systems can facilitate access to finance, save more viable businesses and thereby improve growth and sustainability in the economy overall.

#### What do the indicators cover?

Doing Business studies the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings involving domestic entities. It does not measure insolvency proceedings of individuals and financial institutions. The data are derived from survey responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on bankruptcy systems.

The ranking on the ease of resolving insolvency is based on the recovery rate, which is recorded as cents on the dollar recouped by creditors through reorganization, liquidation or debt enforcement (foreclosure) proceedings. The recovery rate is a function of time, cost and other factors, such as lending rate and the likelihood of the company continuing to operate.

To make the data comparable across economies, *Doing Business* uses several assumptions about the business and the case. It assumes that the company:

- Is a domestically owned, limited liability company operating a hotel.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.
- Has 201 employees, 1 main secured creditor and 50 unsecured creditors.

## WHAT THE RESOLVING INSOLVENCY INDICATORS MEASURE

#### Time required to recover debt (years)

Measured in calendar years

Appeals and requests for extension are included

# **Cost required to recover debt (% of debtor's estate)**

Measured as percentage of estate value

Court fees

Fees of insolvency administrators

Lawyers' fees

Assessors' and auctioneers' fees

Other related fees

#### Outcome

Whether business continues operating as a going concern or business assets are sold piecemeal

# Recovery rate for creditors (cents on the dollar)

Measures the cents on the dollar recovered by creditors

Present value of debt recovered

Official costs of the insolvency proceedings are deducted

Depreciation of furniture is taken into account

Outcome for the business (survival or not) affects the maximum value that can be recovered

 Has a higher value as a going concern—and that the efficient outcome is either reorganization or sale as a going concern, not piecemeal liquidation.

## Where do the region's economies stand today?

How efficient are insolvency proceedings in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)? The global rankings of these economies on the ease of resolving insolvency suggest an answer (figure 11.1). The average ranking of the region and comparator regions provide a useful benchmark for assessing the efficiency of insolvency proceedings. Speed, low costs and continuation of viable businesses characterize the topperforming economies.





The indicators underlying the rankings may be more revealing. Data collected by *Doing Business* show the average time and cost required to resolve insolvency as well as the average recovery rate (figure 11.2). Comparing these indicators across the region and with averages both for the region and for comparator regions can provide useful insights.

#### Figure 11.2 How efficient is the insolvency process in economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

#### Time (years)

Regional Average3.2South Asia (SA)3.0Latin America3.0Latin America3.0East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)2.8Europe and Central Asia (ECA)2.3OECD High Income1.7"West Bank and Gaza0.0*Ubya0.0*Ubya0.0*Iraq0.0Djibouti5.0Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Jordan3.0Jordan3.0Saudi Arabia3.0Saudi Arabia3.0
Latin America3.0East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)2.8Europe and Central Asia (ECA)2.3OECD High Incom1.7*West Bank and Gaza0.0*Uibya0.0*Iibya0.0Tran, Slamic Rep.5.0Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Yemen, Rep.3.0Yemen, Rep.3.0Jordan3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
East Asia and the Pacific (EAP)2.8Europe and Central Asia (ECA)2.3OECD High Income1.7*West Bank and Gaza0.0*Libya0.0*Iraq0.0Tran, Islamic Rep.4.5Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.2Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0
Europe and Central Asia (ECA)2.3OECD High Income1.7*West Bank and Gaza0.0*Libya0.0*Iraq0.05.05.0Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Kuwait4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic3.2United Arab Emirates3.0Yemen, Rep.3.0Laboration3.0Jordan3.0
OECD High Income1.7*West Bank and Gaza0.0*Libya0.0*Iraq0.0Djibouti5.0Djibouti4.5Iran, Islamic Rep.4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Egypt, Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Matta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
*West Bank and Gaza0.0*Libya0.0*Iraq0.0Djibouti5.0Djibouti4.5Iran, Islamic Rep.4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Jordan3.0
<ul> <li>*Libya 0.0</li> <li>*Iraq 0.0</li> <li>Djibouti 5.0</li> <li>Iran, Islamic Rep.</li> <li>Kuwait 4.2</li> <li>Egypt, Arab Rep.</li> <li>Syrian Arab Republic 4.1</li> <li>Syrian Arab Republic 3.2</li> <li>United Arab Emirates 3.2</li> <li>Yemen, Rep.</li> <li>3.0</li> <li>Malta 3.0</li> <li>Jordan 3.0</li> </ul>
*Iraq0.0Djibouti5.0Djibouti5.0Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Kuwait4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Djibouti5.0Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Kuwait4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Iran, Islamic Rep.4.5Kuwait4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Kuwait4.2Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.4.2Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Syrian Arab Republic4.1Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Oman4.0United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
United Arab Emirates3.2Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Yemen, Rep.3.0Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Malta3.0Lebanon3.0Jordan3.0
Lebanon 3.0 Jordan 3.0
Jordan 3.0
Saudi Arabia 2.8
Qatar 2.8
Bahrain 2.5
Algeria 2.5
Morocco 1.8
Tunisia 1.3
0 ~ ~ ~ » » » »

#### Cost (% of estate)



### Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)



\* Indicates a "no practice" mark. See the data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.

## What are the changes over time?

A well-balanced bankruptcy system distinguishes companies that are financially distressed but economically viable from inefficient companies that should be liquidated. But in some insolvency systems even viable businesses are liquidated. This is starting to change. Many recent reforms of bankruptcy laws have been aimed at helping more of the viable businesses survive. What insolvency reforms has *Doing Business* recorded in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) (table 11.1)?

# Table 11.1 How have economies in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) made resolving insolvency easier—or not?

#### By Doing Business report year

DB year	Economy	Reform
DB2009	Saudi Arabia	The Ministry of Commerce introduced strict deadlines for bankruptcy procedures. Auctions of debtors' assets now take place quicker than before.
DB2010	Kuwait	Kuwait introduced a new law that establishes a new legal procedure enabling companies in financial difficulties on the verge of insolvency to restructure.
DB2011	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia speeded up the insolvency process by providing earlier access to amicable settlements and putting time limits on the settlements to encourage creditors to participate.
DB2014	Djibouti	Djibouti made resolving insolvency easier through its new commercial code, which allows an insolvent debtor to file for preventive settlement, legal redress or liquidation and sets out clear rules on the steps and procedures for each of the alternatives a

*Note:* For information on reforms in earlier years (back to DB2005), see the *Doing Business* reports for these years, available at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

### MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA (MENA)

## DATA NOTES

The indicators presented and analyzed in Doing Business measure business regulation and the protection of property rights-and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the complexity of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or to register and transfer commercial property. Second, they gauge the time and cost to achieve a regulatory goal or comply with regulation, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of investors against looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. Finally, a set of data covers different aspects of employment regulation. The 11 sets of indicators measured in Doing Business were added over time, and the sample of economies expanded.

The data for all sets of indicators in *Doing Business* 2014 are for June 2013.<sup>2</sup>

## Methodology

The Doing Business data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the Doing Business team, with academic advisers, designs a questionnaire. The questionnaire uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across economies and over time-with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations. Questionnaires are administered to more than 10,200 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 21.2). These experts have several rounds of interaction with the Doing Business team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. For Doing Business 2014 team members visited 33 economies to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from questionnaires are subjected to numerous rounds of verification, leading to revisions or expansions of the information collected.

#### **ECONOMY CHARACTERISTICS**

#### Gross national income per capita

Doing Business 2014 reports 2012 income per capita as published in the World Bank's World Development Indicators 2013. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (current U.S. dollars). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2012 gross national income (GNI) in U.S. dollars is used as the denominator. GNI data were not available from the World Bank for Afghanistan, The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Djibouti, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Kuwait, Libya, Myanmar, New Zealand, Oman, San Marino, the Syrian Arab Republic, West Bank and Gaza, and the Republic of Yemen. In these cases GDP or GNP per capita data and growth rates from other sources, such as the International Monetary Fund's World Economic Outlook database and the Economist Intelligence Unit, were used.

#### **Region and income group**

Doing Business uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-

classifications. The World Bank does not assign regional classifications to high-income economies. For the purpose of the *Doing Business* report, highincome OECD economies are assigned the "regional" classification *OECD high income*. Figures and tables presenting regional averages include economies from all income groups (low, lower middle, upper middle and high income).

#### **Population**

Doing Business 2014 reports midyear 2012 population statistics as published in World Development Indicators 2013.

The *Doing Business* methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The data for paying taxes refer to January – December 2012.

about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue; Doing Business is not a statistical survey, and the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive and easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. Finally, the data not only highlight the extent of specific regulatory obstacles to business but also identify their source and point to what might be reformed. Information on the methodology for each Doing Business topic can be found on the Doing **Business** website at http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology.

## Limits to what is measured

The Doing Business methodology has 5 limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, the collected data refer to businesses in the economy's largest business city (which in some economies differs from the capital) and may not be representative of regulation in other parts of the economy. To address this limitation, subnational Doing Business indicators were created (box 21.1). Second, the data often focus on a specific business form-generally a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent) of a specified size—and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses, for example, sole proprietorships. Third, transactions described in a standardized case scenario refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in Doing Business represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in *Doing Business* 2014 would differ from the recollection of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other perception surveys.

This year *Doing Business* completed subnational studies in Colombia, Italy and the city of Hargeisa (Somaliland) and is currently updating indicators in Egypt, Mexico and Nigeria. *Doing Business* also published regional studies for the g7+ and the East African Community. The g7+ group is a country-owned and country-led global mechanism established in April 2010 to monitor, report and draw attention to the unique challenges faced by fragile states. The member countries included in the report are Afghanistan, Burundi, the Central African Republic, Chad, the Comoros, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Liberia, Papua New Guinea, Sierra Leone, the Solomon Islands, South Sudan, Timor-Leste and Togo.

The subnational studies point to differences in business regulation and its implementation—as well as in the pace of regulatory reform—across cities in the same economy. For several economies subnational studies are now periodically updated to measure change over time or to expand geographic coverage to additional cities. This year that is the case for all the subnational studies published.

## Changes in what is measured

The methodology for 2 indicator sets—trading across borders and paying taxes—was updated this year. For trading across borders, documents that are required purely for purposes of preferential treatment are no longer included in the list of documents (for example, a certificate of origin if the use is only to qualify for a preferential tariff rate under trade agreements). For paying taxes, the value of fuel taxes is no longer included in the total tax rate because of the difficulty of computing these taxes in a consistent way across all economies covered. The fuel tax amounts are in most cases very small, and measuring these amounts is often complicated because they depend on fuel consumption. Fuel taxes continue to be counted in the number of payments.

In a change involving several indicator sets, the rule establishing that each procedure must take at least 1 day was removed for procedures that can be fully completed online in just a few hours. This change affects the time indicator for starting a business, dealing with construction permits and registering property.<sup>3</sup> For procedures that can be fully completed online, the duration is now set at half a day rather than a full day.

The threshold for the total tax rate introduced in 2011 for the purpose of calculating the ranking on the ease of paying taxes was updated. All economies with a total tax rate below the threshold (which is calculated and adjusted on a yearly basis) receive the same ranking on the total tax rate indicator. The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an "optimal tax rate" that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in the tax system of an economy overall. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the indicators toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the Doing Business standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways-for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology). This year the threshold is 25,5%.

## Data challenges and revisions

Most laws and regulations underlying the *Doing Business* data are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org. All the sample questionnaires and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through the website's "Ask a Question" function at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

# Ease of doing business and distance to frontier

*Doing Business 2014* presents results for 2 aggregate measures: the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing

business and the distance to frontier measure. The ease of doing business ranking compares economies with one another, while the distance to frontier measure benchmarks economies to the frontier in regulatory practice, measuring the absolute distance to the best performance on each indicator. Both measures can be used for comparisons over time. When compared across years, the distance to frontier measure shows how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs in each economy has changed over time in absolute terms, while the ease of doing business ranking can show only relative change.

#### Ease of doing business

The ease of doing business index ranks economies from 1 to 189. For each economy the ranking is calculated as the simple average of the percentile rankings on each of the 10 topics included in the index in Doing Business 2014: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, getting credit, registering property, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts, and resolving insolvency. The employing workers indicators are not included in this year's aggregate ease of doing business ranking.

#### Construction of the ease of doing business index

Here is one example of how the ease of doing business index is constructed. In Denmark it takes 4 procedures, 5.5 days and 0.2% of annual income per capita in fees to open a business. The minimum capital requirement is 24% of annual income per capita. On these 4 indicators Denmark ranks in the 12th, 11th, 1st and 79th percentiles. So on average Denmark ranks in the 25th percentile on the ease of starting a business. It ranks in the 21st percentile on getting credit, 19th percentile on paying taxes, 27th percentile on enforcing contracts, 5th percentile on resolving insolvency and so on. Higher rankings indicate simpler regulation and stronger protection of property rights. The simple average of Denmark's percentile rankings on all topics is 17th. When all economies are ordered by their average percentile rankings, Denmark stands at 5 in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business.

More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components yield a ranking nearly identical to the simple average

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For getting electricity the rule that each procedure must take a minimum of 1 day still applies because in practice there are no cases in which procedures can be fully completed online in less than a day. For example, even though in some cases it is possible to apply for an electricity connection online, additional requirements mean that the process cannot be completed in less than 1 day.

used by *Doing Business.*<sup>4</sup> Thus, *Doing Business* uses the simplest method: weighting all topics equally and, within each topic, giving equal weight to each of the topic components.

If an economy has no laws or regulations covering a specific area—for example, insolvency—it receives a "no practice" mark. Similarly, an economy receives a "no practice" or "not possible" mark if regulation exists but is never used in practice or if a competing regulation prohibits such practice. Either way, a "no practice" mark puts the economy at the bottom of the ranking on the relevant indicator.

The ease of doing business index is limited in scope. It does not account for an economy's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders and getting electricity), the strength of its financial system, the security of property from theft and looting, macroeconomic conditions or the strength of underlying institutions.

#### Variability of economies' rankings across topics

Each indicator set measures a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The rankings of an economy can vary, sometimes significantly, across indicator sets. The average correlation coefficient between the 10 indicator sets included in the aggregate ranking is 0.38, and the coefficients between any 2 sets of indicators range from 0.18 (between getting electricity and getting credit) to 0.58 (between trading across borders and resolving insolvency and between trading across borders and getting electricity). These correlations suggest that economies rarely score universally well or universally badly on the indicators.

Consider the example of Canada. It stands at 19 in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business. Its ranking is 2 on starting a business, 4 on protecting investors, and 8 on paying taxes. But its ranking is only

58 on enforcing contracts, 116 on dealing with construction permits and 145 on getting electricity.

Variation in performance across the indicator sets is not at all unusual. It reflects differences in the degree of priority that government authorities give to particular areas of business regulation reform and the ability of different government agencies to deliver tangible results in their area of responsibility.

#### **Distance to frontier measure**

A drawback of the ease of doing business ranking is that it can measure the regulatory performance of economies only relative to the performance of others. It does not provide information on how the absolute quality of the regulatory environment is improving over time. Nor does it provide information on how large the gaps are between economies at a single point in time.

The distance to frontier measure is designed to address both shortcomings, complementing the ease of doing business ranking. This measure illustrates the distance of an economy to the "frontier," and the change in the measure over time shows the extent to which the economy has closed this gap. The frontier is a score derived from the most efficient practice or highest score achieved on each of the component indicators in 10 Doing Business indicator sets (excluding the employing workers indicators) by any economy. In starting a business, for example, Canada and New Zealand have achieved the highest performance on the number of procedures required (1) and on the time (0.5 days), Denmark and Slovenia on the cost (0% of income per capita) and Chile, Zambia and 99 other economies on the paid-in minimum capital requirement (0% of income per capita) (table 22.2).

Calculating the distance to frontier for each economy involves 2 main steps. First, individual indicator scores are normalized to a common unit: except for the total tax rate, each of the 31 component indicators y is rescaled to (max - y)/(max - min), with the minimum value (min) representing the frontier—the highest performance on that indicator across all economies since 2003 or the first year the indicator was collected.<sup>5</sup> For the total tax rate, consistent with the calculation of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Simeon Djankov, Darshini Manraj, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho, "*Doing Business* Indicators: Why Aggregate, and How to Do It" (World Bank, Washington, DC, 2005). Principal components and unobserved components methods yield a ranking nearly identical to that from the simple average method because both these methods assign roughly equal weights to the topics, since the pairwise correlations among indicators do not differ much. An alternative to the simple average method is to give different weights to the topics, depending on which are considered of more or less importance in the context of a specific economy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Even though scores for the distance to frontier are calculated from 2005, data from as early as 2003 are used to define the frontier

94

the rankings, the frontier is defined as the total tax rate at the 15th percentile of the overall distribution of total tax rates for all years. Second, for each economy the scores obtained for individual indicators are aggregated through simple averaging into one distance to frontier score, first for each topic and then across all topics. An economy's distance to frontier is indicated on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the lowest performance and 100 the frontier.

The maximum (max) and minimum (min) observed values are computed for all economies included in the Doing Business sample since 2003 and for all years (from 2003 to 2013). To mitigate the effects of extreme outliers in the distributions of the rescaled data (very few economies need 694 days to complete the procedures to start a business, but many need 9 days), the maximum (max) is defined as the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the pooled data for all economies and all years for each indicator. The exceptions are the getting credit, protecting investors and resolving insolvency indicators, whose construction precludes outliers. In addition, the cost to export and cost to import for each year are divided by the GDP deflator, so as to take the general price level into account when benchmarking these absolute-cost indicators across economies with different inflation trends. The base year for the deflator is 2013 for all economies.

The difference between an economy's distance to frontier score in any previous year and its score in 2013 illustrates the extent to which the economy has closed the gap to the frontier over time. And in any given year the score measures how far an economy is from the highest performance at that time.

Take Colombia, which has a score of 70.5 on the distance to frontier measure for 2014. This score indicates that the economy is 29.5 percentage points away from the frontier constructed from the best performances across all economies and all years. Colombia was further from the frontier in 2009, with a score of 66.2. The difference between the scores shows an improvement over time.

The distance to frontier measure can also be used for comparisons across economies in the same year, complementing the ease of doing business ranking. For example, Colombia stands at 63 this year in the ease of doing business ranking, while Peru, which is 29.3 percentage points from the frontier, stands at 42.

# Economies that improved the most across 3 or more Doing Business topics in 2012/13

Doing Business 2014 uses a simple method to calculate which economies improved the most in the ease of doing business. First, it selects the economies that in 2012/13 implemented regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in 3 or more of the 10 topics included in this year's ease of doing business ranking.<sup>6</sup> Twenty-nine economies meet this criterion: Azerbaijan, Belarus, Burundi, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Djibouti, Gabon, Guatemala, Guinea, Italy, Kosovo, Latvia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Panama, the Philippines, the Republic of Congo, Romania, the Russian Federation, Rwanda, Sri Lanka, Ukraine, Uzbekistan and the United Arab Emirates. Second, Doing Business sorts these economies on the increase in their distance to frontier measure from the previous year using comparable data.

Selecting the economies that implemented regulatory reforms in at least 3 topics and improved the most in the distance to frontier measure is intended to highlight economies with ongoing, broadbased reform programs. The criterion for identifying the top improvers was changed from last year. The improvement in ease of doing business ranking is no longer used. The improvement in the distance to frontier measure is used instead because under this measure economies are sorted according to their absolute improvement instead of relative improvement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Doing Business* reforms making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the total number of those making it easier to do business.

## RESOURCES ON THE DOING BUSINESS WEBSITE

### **Current features**

News on the *Doing Business* project *http://www.doingbusiness.org* 

### Rankings

How economies rank—from 1 to 189 http://www.doingbusiness.org/rankings/

### Data

All the data for 189 economies—topic rankings, indicator values, lists of regulatory procedures and details underlying indicators *http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/* 

### Reports

Access to *Doing Business* reports as well as subnational and regional reports, reform case studies and customized economy and regional profiles

http://www.doingbusiness.org/reports/

## Methodology

The methodologies and research papers underlying *Doing Business http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology/* 

## Research

Abstracts of papers on *Doing Business* topics and related policy issues http://www.doingbusiness.org/research/

## **Business reforms**

Short summaries of DB2014 business reforms, lists of reforms since DB2008 and a ranking simulation tool http://www.doingbusiness.org/reforms/

### **Historical data**

Customized data sets since DB2004 http://www.doingbusiness.org/custom-query/

### Law library

Online collection of business laws and regulations relating to business and gender issues http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library/ http://wbl.worldbank.org/

## Contributors

More than 10,200 specialists in 189 economies who participate in *Doing Business http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doingbusiness/* 

#### Entrepreneurship data

Data on business density for 139 economies http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics /entrepreneurship/

#### **Doing Business iPhone App**

Doing Business at a Glance App presents the full report, rankings and highlights http://www.doingbusiness.org/specialfeatures/



# WWW.DOINGBUSINESS.ORG







SKU 19984